

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,066	10/04/2004	Craig W. Lindsley	21072YP	5610
210 MERCK AND	7590 04/02/200 CO., INC	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2000			BERNHARDT, EMILY B	
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	,
<u></u>		,		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/02/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/510,066	LINDSLEY ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Emily Bernhardt	1624	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 6,7,9,13 and 23 is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 6,7,9,13 and 23 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and pers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration of the oath of the	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be in the drawing(s) is objected to b	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	

Art Unit: 1624

In view of applicants' response filed on 1/8/07 the following still applies.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The newly presented claim recites 2  $R_z$  definitions- one very narrow and the other as broad as that originally presented. Thus applicants' intent is not clear.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The rejection on "how to use" for scope claimed remains only for the scope of NR<sup>5</sup>R<sup>6</sup> amd R<sup>z</sup> which is still enormous compared to what has been made and tested.

Describing the invention as broadly as it is claimed does not necessarily enable one how to make and use the invention. Literal support for the claims was also present in Surrey previously cited. If Surrey solely required literal support then the earlier Cauvallito decision (127 USPQ 202)

Art Unit: 1624

would not have been heavily relied on as it was. Note the following quote taken from Cauvallito (regarding literal support at p.205,left column: "The mere statement of an inventive concept, however, is not a sufficient basis for claiming it. Sufficient information must be given to enable those skilled in the art to practice the invention."

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 6,7,9 13 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barnett for reasons of record. Applicants' traverse is not persuasive since the fact that the provisional filing dates for instant case and WO'473 are the same does not remove Barnett as a competent reference. As stated in the MPEP 706.02, section V, part (D):

"If the application properly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) to a provisional application, the effective filing date is the filing date of the provisional application for any claims which are **fully** supported under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 by the provisional application. In the instant case as previously discussed subject matter in claims 6 and 7 as well as claims dependent thereon are not **all** adequately described in

Art Unit: 1624

applicants' earlier provisional case and for new claim 23 not adequately enabled for the reason maintained above under the par.one rejection.

Thus Barnett remains a competent reference.

Claims 6,7,9,13 and 23 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/510068. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because for reasons set forth in the previous response.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicants do not particularly traverse this rejection. PTO records indicate the case has not yet been acted on.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Bernhardt whose telephone number is 571-272-0664.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the acting supervisor for AU 1624, James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1624

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily Bernhardt
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624